

REMARKS/ARGUEMENTS

Claims 1, 9, 20, and 24 have been amended. Claim 25 has been canceled. No new claims have been added. Claims 1-24, and 26-29 remain pending in the application.

Support for Amendments

Support for the amendments to claims 1, 9 and 20 relating to a camera mount member adapted to couple the main camera body to a bow or firearm can be found in the application at paragraphs 0042 and 0043.

Support for the amendment to claim 24 relating to uncovering the display when moving the display housing to the second position can be found in the application at paragraphs 0035 and 0036, and original claim 25.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

1.0 *The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11, 14-15, 20-24, 26 and 29 under 35 U.S.C §102(e) as anticipated by Griencewic (United States Patent 5,801,919).*

SUMMARY OF CITED REFERENCES

Griencewic discloses a camera assembly movably coupled to a computer.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMED INVENTION

A First Embodiment of the present claimed invention (claims 1-23) is directed to a camera comprising a main camera body and a camera mount member adapted to couple the main camera body to a bow or firearm.

A Second Embodiment of the present claimed invention (claims 24, 26-29) is directed to a method of operating a camera, the method comprising moving a display housing containing a

display from a first position that covers a lens to a second position that uncovers the lens and uncovering the display when moving the display housing to the second position.

LEGAL BASIS

An anticipation rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 requires that the cited reference(s) disclose each and every element of the claimed invention. *See, Hybritech Inc. v. Monoclonal Antibodies, Inc.*, 231 U.S.P.Q. 81, 90 (Fed. Cir. 1986); *Kloster Speedsteel AB et al. v. Crucible Inc. et al.*, 230 U.S.P.Q. 81, 84 (Fed. Cir. 1986). A reference anticipates a claim only when the reference discloses each and every element recited in the claim. *See, Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051 (Fed. Cir. 1987) and M.P.E.P. §2131. Accordingly, the “exclusion of a claimed element from a prior art reference is enough to negate anticipation by that reference.” *Atlas Powder Co. v. E.I. duPont De Nemours & Co.*, 224 U.S.P.Q. 409, 411 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

GRIENCEWIC DOES NOT DISCLOSE EACH AND EVERY ELEMENT OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION.

FIRST EMBODIMENT

The First Embodiment of the present claimed invention is directed to a camera comprising a main camera body and a camera mount member adapted to couple the main camera body to a bow or firearm. Griencewic discloses a camera assembly movably coupled to a computer. Griencewic does not disclose mounting a camera to a bow or firearm.

Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

SECOND EMBODIMENT

The Second Embodiment of the present claimed invention is directed to a method comprising moving a display housing containing a display from a first position that covers a lens to a second position that uncovers the lens and uncovering the display when moving the display housing to the second position. Griencewic does not disclose uncovering the display when moving the display housing to the second position.


Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims 1-24, 26-29 are in condition for allowance.

Date 11/07/05

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Michael S. Sherrill Reg. No. #32,302
SHERRILL LAW OFFICES, PLLC
4756 Banning Avenue, Suite 212
White Bear Lake, Minnesota 55110-3205
(651) 426-2400